

# Note of Delayed Mode Quality Control of Argo float WMO 3901855

For more detailed: Antonella Gallo [agallo@ogs.it](mailto:agallo@ogs.it)

This note includes the results of OWC performed for the WMO 3901855 float. The reference dataset used is composed of the following CTD and Argo historical datasets:

CTD:

- CMEMS: INSITU\_MED\_PHYBGCWAV\_DISCRETE\_MYNRT\_013\_035
- Coriolis: CTD\_for\_DMQC\_2024V01
- Historical CTD profiles provided through personal contact

Argo:


- ARGO\_for\_DMQC\_2023V03

Float 3901855 is the Arvor float, where the pressure sensor is auto corrected and no adjustment is required. The OWC was run to estimate a salinity offset and a salinity drift (Cabanès et al., 2016).

## Configurations


Parameters	Value
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS	300
MAP_USE_PV	1
MAP_USE_SAF	0
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE	4
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL	1.33
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE	4
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL	1.33
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE	0.5
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL	0.1
MAPSCALE_AGE	10
MAP_P_EXCLUDE	900
MAP_P_DELTA	100
Breaks	100

**OWC Results**




**Figure 1:** Location of the float profiles (red line with colored numbers) and the reference data selected for mapping (blue dots).

3901855 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




**Figure 2:** Plot the original float salinity and the objectively estimated reference salinity at the 10 float theta levels that are used in calibration.




**Figure 3:** Evolution of the suggested adjustment with time. The top panel plots the potential conductivity multiplicative adjustment. The bottom panel plots the equivalent salinity additive adjustment. The red line denotes one-to-one profile fit that uses the vertically weighted mean of each profile. The red line can be used to check for anomalous profiles relative to the optimal fit.


3901855 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors



**Figure 4:** The plot of calibrated float salinity and the objectively estimated reference salinity at the 10 float theta levels that are used in calibration.



**Figure 5:** Plots of the evolution of salinity with time along with selected theta levels with minimum salinity variance.



**Figure 6:** Plots include the theta levels chosen for calibration: Top left: Salinity variance at theta levels. Top right: T/S diagram of all profiles of Argo float. Bottom left: potential temperature plotted against pressure. Bottom right: salinity plotted against pressure.

## Summary

Float WMO 3901855 was deployed in the Black sea sub-basin. This float was DMQC-ed before from cycle from 1 to 240: correction applied from cycle 120.

The OWC analysis showed a salinity drift. Figure 3 reveals that the least square fit is quite reliable. The correction proposed by OWC suggests a correction larger than the Argo requested accuracy (0.01). Figure 5 shows a sensor drift on selected  $\theta$ -levels. In particular, from cycle 150 float salinity data drifted salty abruptly and rapidly, indicating a ASD behaviour. Additional analyses (the visual inspection of the deepest portion of the  $\theta$ -S diagram, the comparison of selected float salinity profiles with the nearby historical CTD profiles) are applied in complement of the OWC method, to provide the best quality control analysis. After several investigation, the last decision is that the salinity data of float WMO 3901855 doesn't need a delayed mode correction for cycle 1-411. QC 1 is applied to cycles from 1 to 149, QC=4 to cycle from 150 to 411.

PSAL\_ADJUSTED= PSAL from cycle 1 to 149

The quality flags applied are the following:

PSAL\_ADJUSTED\_QC='1' from cycle 1 to 149

PSAL\_ADJUSTED\_QC='4' from cycle 150 to 411

The delayed-mode files (Dfiles) have been created accordingly and sent to the Coriolis GDAC.

## References

Cabanes, C., Thierry, V., & Lagadec, C. (2016). Improvement of bias detection in Argo float conductivity sensors and its application in the North Atlantic. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 114, 128–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.05.007>