


Delayed Mode Quality Control of Argo float WMO 6902569

Antonella Gallo
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8836-1550

National Institute of Oceanography and Applied Geophysics - OGS
Borgo Grotta Gigante 42/C - 34010 - Sgonico (TS) – Italy
28/07/2022



Contents

- 1 Introduction..... 3**
- 2 Quality Check of Argo Float Data..... 4**
 - 2.1 Verification of Real-time Mode QC flags.....4
 - 2.2 Time Series of Argo Float Temperature and Salinity5
 - 2.3 Comparison Between Argo Float and Climatology7
- 3 Sea surface pressure calibrations.....13**
- 4 Correction of Salinity Data 14**
 - 4.1 Comparison between Argo Float and CTD Climatology 14
 - 4.1.1 Configurations.....14
 - 4.1.2 Results.....15
- 5 Summary 22**
- 7 References..... 22**

1 Introduction

This report includes the delayed mode analysis performed for float 6902569. It was deployed in Mediterranean Sea (Levantine sub-basin) in May 2015 and after performed 167 cycles died. Before the analysis, real-time QC flags were visually inspected. The list of flags applied is QC=1 to all cycles. Plots of temperature and salinity time series and plots of temperature, salinity and density plotted against the nearby historical CTD profiles was generated. This visual analysis can help in detecting sensor salinity anomalies and spikes.

The reference dataset used is composed of the following CTD and Argo historical datasets:

CTD:

- CMEMS: INSITU_GLO_TS_REP_OBSERVATIONS_013_001_b
- Coriolis: CTD_for_DMQC_2021V01
- Historical CTD profiles provided through personal contact

Argo:

- ARGO_for_DMQC_2020V03

Float 6902569 is the Apex float, where the pressure sensor is not auto-corrected to zero while at the sea surface. For this sensor the pressure data will be corrected during processing in delayed mode if necessary. The procedures of correction sea surface pressure are described in Argo Quality Control Manual for CTD and Trajectory Data (Wong et al., 2020).

The OWC was run to estimate a salinity offset and a salinity drift (Cabanès et al., 2016).

2 Quality Check of Argo Float Data

2.1 Verification of Real-time Mode QC flags

The list of flags applied to the float in real-time mode is as follows.

Cycle number:

1-167 PSAL QC=1

2.2 Time Series of Argo Float Temperature and Salinity




Figure 1: Float 6902569. Time series of Argo float potential temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) on the left, and potential temperature profiles color-coded per cycle number on the right.




Figure 2: Float 6902569. Time series of Argo float potential salinity (PSS-78) on the left, and salinity profiles color-coded per cycle number on the right.

Before running the Owens and Wong method, referred to as OW hereafter, the theta-salinity (θ -S) diagram of the float is analyzed (Figure 3) and in particular the area where the θ -S relationship is the tightest (Figure 4). A significant salinity drift/offset is observed.




Figure 3: Float 6902569. θ -S diagram color-coded per cycle number. On the left all profiles have been included, on the right only profile with QC 1.




Figure 4: Float 6902569. Area of the θ -S diagram (color-coded per cycle number) where the θ -S relationship is more uniform.

2.3 Comparison Between Argo Float and Climatology

Three salinity float profiles are selected to perform a comparison (in time and space) with the historical data. In figure 5, 6 and 7 each selected profile is compared with all reference data used in this analysis. The salinity float profile is depicted in black while other colors represent the salinity reference profiles. The red color means that the historical data are more recent with respect to the float ones, while magenta states that the float data are more recent than the historical ones (the maximal difference is 9 years). A time difference between 3 and 6, 6 and 9 and larger than 9 years is depicted in green, cyan and blue, respectively.




Figure 5: Float 6902569. Locations of the salinity float profile number 1 and historical CTD data (right panel) and the respective salinity profiles (left panel).




Figure 6: Float 6902569. Locations of the salinity float profile number 90 and historical CTD data (right panel) and the respective salinity profiles (left panel).






Figure 7: Float 6902569. Locations of the salinity float profile number 156 and historical CTD data (right panel) and the respective salinity profiles (left panel).

The comparison of these 3 selected salinity float profiles with the closest (in space and time) salinity reference profile is shown in Figures from 8 to 10. The agreement between the selected float salinity profiles and the historical salinity profiles isn't good in the intermediate and deeper layers, where the water column is more stable. The comparison shows a drift/offset for cycles 90 and 156.


Float 6902569 - profile 1 (23-May-2015) and nearest (in space) ctd (23-Nov-2019)



Float 6902569 - profile 1 (23-May-2015) vs nearest (in space) ctd (23-Nov-2019)



Float 6902569 - profile 1 (23-May-2015) and nearest (in time) ctd (24-May-2015)



Float 6902569 - profile 1 (23-May-2015) vs nearest (in time) ctd (24-May-2015)




Figure 8: Float 6902569. The salinity float profile number 1 (black dots) are compared to the nearest in space (top) and in time (bottom) reference profile (red dots). In the small plots the comparison in the deeper layers is showed. The locations of the two profiles and their distance is given in the left panel.




Figure 9: Float 6902569. The salinity float profile number 90 (black dots) are compared to the nearest in space (top) and in time (bottom) reference profile (red dots). In the small plots the comparison in the deeper layers is showed. The locations of the two profiles and their distance is given in the left panel.




Figure 10: Float 6902569. The salinity float profile number 156 (black dots) are compared to the nearest in space (top) and in time (bottom) reference profile (red dots). In the small plots the comparison in the deeper layers is shown. The locations of the two profiles and their distance is given in the left panel.




Figure 11: Float 6902569. T/S diagram plotted with and data from WMO boxes of CTD reference data +/- 10° of latitude and longitude. The black and blue circles indicate the first and the last Argo profile, respectively. Green symbols represent other Argo profiles from this float. The thin colored lines indicate the reference data.

3 Sea surface pressure calibrations

The adjusted surface pressure is plotted in Figure 12. Surface pressure is extracted from the Argo technical file: the variable name is "PRES_SurfaceOffsetCorrectedNotResetNegative_1cBar Resolution_dbar". No adjustment of the CTD pressure profiles is required because the correction is less than the accuracy of the sensor (2.4 dbar).




Figure 12: Float 6902569. Adjusted surface pressure values versus profile number.

4 Correction of Salinity Data

4.1 Comparison between Argo Float and CTD Climatology

4.1.1 Configurations

Parameters	Value
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS	300
MAP_USE_PV	1
MAP_USE_SAF	0
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE	4
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL	1.33
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE	4
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL	1.33
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE	0.5
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL	0.1
MAPSCALE_AGE	10
MAP_P_EXCLUDE	700
MAP_P_DELTA	250

4.1.2 Results




Figure 13: Float 6902569. Location of the float profiles (red line with colored numbers) and the reference data selected for mapping (blue dots).

6902569 uncalibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 14: Float 6902569. Plot the original float salinity and the objectively estimated reference salinity at the 10 float theta levels that are used in calibration.




Figure 15: Float 6902569. Evolution of the suggested adjustment with time. The top panel plots the potential conductivity multiplicative adjustment. The bottom panel plots the equivalent salinity additive adjustment. The red line denotes one-to-one profile fit that uses the vertically weighted mean of each profile. The red line can be used to check for anomalous profiles relative to the optimal fit.

6902569 calibrated float data (-) and mapped salinity (o) with objective errors




Figure 16: Float 6902569. The plot of calibrated float salinity and the objectively estimated reference salinity at the 10 float theta levels that are used in calibration.





Figure 17: Float 6902569. Plots of the evolution of salinity with time along with selected theta levels with minimum salinity variance.




Figure 18: Float 6902569. Plots include the theta levels chosen for calibration: Top left: Salinity variance at theta levels. Top right: T/S diagram of all profiles of Argo float. Bottom left: potential temperature plotted against pressure. Bottom right: salinity plotted against pressure.

The analysis of the θ -S diagram of profile segments deeper than 700 dbar (Figure 19) shows that the OW method was run where the θ -S relationship is the tightest.




Figure 19: Float 6902569. Uncalibrated float salinity profile (black lines) and mapped historical data (red lines) in the most uniform part of the θ -S curve.

5 Summary

Float was deployed in the Levantine sub-basin, in the Mediterranean Sea. The most favorable water masses, which are useful for comparison with climatology is relatively stable intermediate and deep waters from around 700 m. The initial comparison between Argo float and reference data shows a salinity offset/drift. This float was not DMQC-ed before.

The OWC analysis showed a salinity offset/drift. Figure 15 reveals that the least square is quite good. Figure 17 shows a drift starting from cycle 1 and increasing during the life of the float. After several analyses, the last decision is that the salinity data of float WMO 6902569 needs a delayed mode correction from cycle 1 to 110. QC 1 is applied. From cycle 111 to 167 no correction is applied. Data is bad and unadjustable. QC 4 is applied.

PSAL_ADJUSTED=PSAL + ΔS from cycle 1 to 110

PSAL_ADJUSTED=PSAL from cycle 111 to 167

The quality flags applied are the following:

PSAL_ADJUSTED_QC='1' from cycle 1 to 110

PSAL_ADJUSTED_QC='4' from cycle 111 to 167

The delayed-mode files (Dfiles) have been created accordingly and sent to the Coriolis GDAC.

7 References

Cabanes, C., Thierry, V., & Lagadec, C. (2016). Improvement of bias detection in Argo float conductivity sensors and its application in the North Atlantic. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 114, 128–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.05.007>

Annie Wong, Robert Keeley, Thierry Carval and the Argo Data Management Team (2020). Argo Quality Control Manual for CTD and Trajectory Data. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13155/33951>